elastic constants of
rformance of this w
the previous invest
Table II in parenth
ed values, and the va
resonant bar techni
stants is especially g
iabatic bulk modulu
her than Goens' va
value of the bulk mo
n that calculated fr
thermal bulk mod
y means of the equa

B_T/C_p),

of thermal expan
the specific heat is
ne molar volume an
300°K. The value
1.77×10^{12} dyne cm

f the elastic constant
e values shown for co
ne number of experim

dC/dP	dC'/dP
2.38	0.580
2.32	0.580
...	...
2.35	0.580
2.31	0.639
1.79	0.438

very recent values
nicated by Neighb
sonic pulse-echo te
ent and confirms
ause to pay tribute
ar constants for g
difficult technique,

he pressure derivati
lver and gold cryst
red. As already stat
ult of at least two
s composed of seve

btained using seve
III, is two percent
derivatives and f
rivative. These figu

0).
preceding paper [P

---

elt to represent the precision of the results for the sponding measurements on each of copper, silver, old.

e comparison of the presently determined $d\ln c/dP$ opper with the results obtained by Lazarus[6] is n in Table IV. In addition, the value obtained by for the pressure variation of the shear modulus ycrystalline copper, $d\ln G/dP$, is listed. It will be d that the Birch value, representing the derivative average shear constant, lies about midway between C and C' values, but that it lies higher than both of e values as determined by Lazarus.

dgman's compressibility data are usually expressed e coefficients a and b in the equation

$$\Delta V/V_0=-aP+bP^2. \tag{7}$$

quantity a is related to the isothermal bulk modulus e equation $a=(B_T)^{-1}$ and b is related to the pres- derivative of the bulk modulus by the equation

$$b=\frac{1}{2B_T{}^2}\left(\frac{dB_T}{dP}+1\right). \tag{8}$$

BLE IV. Comparison of the pressure derivatives of the c shear constants of copper with previous data. Units are cm² dyne⁻¹.

Investigator	$d\ln C/dP$	$d\ln C'/dP$	$d\ln G/dP$
Present	3.13	2.48	...
Lazarus[a]	1.13	2.45	...
Birch[b]	...	...	2.76

reference 6.
reference 5.

the values of B_T given in Table II, and our values $_s/dP$ (adiabatic), values of b have been computed. use of dB_s/dP instead of dB_T/dP is not serious; t calculation of the difference from Eq. (6) with elp of standard thermodynamic relations shows it amounts to about 2%.)

ble V compares our values of b with the Bridgman s (as modified by Slater[20] for copper and silver). present ones are larger than the Bridgman value in se of copper, essentially the same for silver, but in the case of gold. The reason for the differences, h are beyond the apparent uncertainty in our work, understood. It may be noted that in our acoustic d the quantity under discussion comes from the of a raw data plot such as Fig. 1 while in Bridg- s method it comes essentially from the curvature. act that the present result is obtained by combin- ch observations for three waves is admittedly a of the acoustic method but it is not felt to be sible for the discrepancies.

pressure derivatives of the elastic constants of r, silver, and gold are repeated in Table VI, in rm to be used later in the interpretation of the results. That is, the pressure derivatives are expressed as $\Omega dC/d\ln r$, where the variable r may be thought of as the distance between nearest neighbor atoms of the crystal and Ω is the atomic volume. The relation between the derivative of the elastic constant c with respect to $\ln r$ and its pressure derivative is given by

$$dC/d\ln r=-3B_T(dC/dP), \tag{9}$$

and similarly for C' and B. We shall hereafter refer to the quantity $\Omega dC/d\ln r$ as the hydrostatic strain derivative of the corresponding elastic constant. The values of Ω used are: Cu 11.81, Ag 17.05, Au 16.96, in units of 10^{-24} cm³ atom⁻¹. Table VI illustrates the monotonic variation from copper to silver to gold of all these derivatives, a result to be expected of a homologous series of metals. It is felt that this good intercomparison of the three metals is additional justification of the present results in view of the discrepancies with previous workers shown in Tables IV and V.

TABLE V. Comparison of present values of the pressure derivative of the bulk moduli with the Bridgman values. The values are expressed as the constant b in the equation, $\Delta V/V_0=-aP+bP^2$. Units of b are 10^{-12} cm⁴ kg⁻².

Material	Present b	Bridgman b
Cu	1.8	1.3
Ag	3.3	3.1
Au	1.3	1.8

INTERPRETATION

The elastic constants of a crystal can be expressed as the second derivative of the crystal binding energy with respect to the appropriate strain. The conventional model[21] on which elastic constant calculations are based, considers that the only important contributions to the elastic constants arise from (1) a long-range Coulomb energy, contributing to the shear constants (2) the Fermi energy, assumed in monovalent metals to be a function of volume only and consequently contributing only to the bulk modulus, and (3) a short-range repulsive interaction between neighboring closed shell ion cores. On the usual model, the short-range repulsions are considered to depend only on $|r|$, that is, they are assumed to act along lines joining nearest-neighbor atoms. In this section we shall analyze the experimental data from the point of view of this con-

TABLE VI. Hydrostatic strain derivatives, $\Omega dC/d\ln r$, of the elastic constants B_s, C, and C' of copper, silver, and gold. Units are 10^{-12} erg atom⁻¹.

Cu	Cu	Ag	Au
B_s	−264	−321	−543
C	−111	−120	−151
C'	−27.4	−33.2	−37.0

J. C. Slater, Phys. Rev. 57, 744 (1940).

[21] N. F. Mott, in *Progress in Metal Physics*, edited by Bruce Chalmers (Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1952), Vol. 3, pp. 90–94.